## First Supplement to Memorandum 74-63

Subject: Study 72 - Liquidated Damages

This supplementary memorandum briefly discusses changes in the liquidated damages recommendation proposed by Mr. Ronald Denitz of Tishman Realty. A copy of Mr. Denitz's suggestions is attached (Exhibit I).

Mr. Denitz does not propose to change Section 3319, the general liquidated damages provision.

Mr. Denitz proposes several significant changes in Section 2954.6 concerning late payments. First, he proposes that the section be made applicable to late payment charges under a lease of real property.

Second, Mr. Denitz proposes to replace the 10-percent charge of subdivision (c)(2) with a provision that the late payment charge shall be "reasonably related to the probable bookkeeping and other non-litigation collection expenses of the creditor (except repossession costs) at the time of default." In theory this test is better than the automatically valid charge of up to 10 percent since probable bookkeeping and other non-litigation collection expenses (depending on what they are) should be less than 10 percent. However, this change would defeat the purpose of the Commission's recommended provision which is to avoid litigation by making charges of a certain amount automatically valid.

Labeling it "cumbersome," Mr. Denitz suggests deleting the procedure in Section 2954.6(d) for giving the borrower notice that the lender will not add the charge to principal—a condition precedent to treating the failure to pay the charge as a default. Mr. Denitz would leave the alternative of adding the charge to principal to contract provisions. The staff does not see his objection to the recommended provision nor do we believe the proposed change adequately deals with the question of what the lender can do if the borrower fails to pay the

late payment charge, particularly where the contract makes no provision concerning the matter.

Finally, Mr. Denitz suggests that liquidated damages in sales of real property provided by Section 3320 be automatically valid up to 10 percent whether the default is by the buyer or the seller. The staff thinks doubling the present five-percent figure is a move in the wrong direction; many feel that the five-percent figure is too high. The granting of an identical remedy to the buyer is not particularly useful, since the buyer is usually interested in specific performance, not damages. Mr. Denitz would also eliminate any requirement that the deposit be actually made. These suggested changes would do nothing to satisfy the objections of groups who opposed the bill.

Respectfully submitted,

Stan G. Ulrich Legal Counsel

### EXHIBIT I

(213) 427-1919

Tishman Realty & Construction Cv., Inc.

WEST COAST HEADQUARTERS

10960 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

B-I CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE NO. 170730

October 25, 1974

John H. DeMoully, Esq. Executive Secretary California Law Revision Commission School of Law Stanford, California 94305

Re: Liquidated Damages

Dear John:

Today I received the Tentative Agenda for the November 14, 1974 Commission meeting, showing the captioned matter is to be considered at that time.

Preparatory to that consideration, enclosed is a copy of the ill-fated SB 1532, which I have marked up in order to hopefully make it more palatable to all concerned. You will note that my proposal would:

- (a) include rent in leases as "installment
   payments";
- (b) make the test of validity of liquidated damages re installment payments "reasonable relation at time of default" (rather than the earlier disputed dollar-certain formula);
- (c) remove a cumbersome portion of lines 1-11 on page 4, and
- (d) expand liquidated damages re real property sales to be validated up to 10% whether the default is by the Seller or Purchaser (rather than just the Purchaser).

## Tishman Realty & Construction Co. Inc.

-2-

John H. DeMoully, Esq.

October 25, 1974

Although all of the above suggestions are of importance to us, my Firm feels that items (a) and (d) are the most essential.

With best personal regards, I am

Cordially,

TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.

Ву

RONALD P. DENITZ

Assistant General Counsel

RPD/svh encl.

obligation under such loan. ages to the vendor if the purchaser fails to satisfy his obligation in contracts for the sale of real property liquidating the damto purchase the real property are valid if such provisions are ble under the circumstances existing at the time of the maktotal purchase price, party seeking to enforce the provisions has burden of establishing reasonableness of the amount of separately signed or initialed by each party and are reasonacontract separately signed or initialed by each party, it shal cumstances, if a purchaser's deposit is designated as constitutsuch liquidated damages. Provides that, under specified cirdamages in such contracts exceed specified percentage of ing of the contract. Provides that if provisions re liquidating ing liquidated damages to the vendor in a provision of the fied percentage of the total purchase price in the contract be deemed reasonable and valid if it does not exceed a speci-Provides that, subject to specified circumstances, provisions

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. Makes certain other conforming changes.

State-mandated local program: no.

# The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

read: Professions Code is repealed. SEC. 4. SECTION 1. SEC. 2 1951.5. Section 1671 of the Civil Code is repealed. Section 1951.5 of the Civil Code is amended to Section 3319, relating to liquidated damages, Section 1670 of the Civil Code is repealed Section 10242.5 of the Business and

applies to a lease of real property. Suc. 5. Section 2954.6 is added rend: Section 2954.6 is added to the Civil Code, to

is imposed for late payment of an installment payment or not characterized in the loan contract as interest, that 2954.6. Late payment charge" means a charge, whether (a) As used in this section:

real property on 1914 CEASE OF due onfa-foan secured by a mortgage or deed of trust on real property on 近日4 CEASE ロト REAし PROPERT

impound accounts for property taxes, special assessments, (2) "Installment payment" means that portion of a periodic payment that comprises any one or more of the following: principal, interest,/ind funds to be allocated to

and insurance

in the local contract imposing a late payment charge is (b) Except as provided in subdivision (c), a provision

valid if it satisfies the requirements of Section 2954.5 and

is valid under Section 3319. (c) Where each of a majority of the installment payments is less than five hundred dollars (\$500), a 2954.5 and both of the following conditions: charge is valid if it satisfies the requirements of Section provision in the <del>least</del> contract imposing a late payment

within 10 days after its scheduled due date even though payment charge on an earlier installment payment, may not have been paid in full. For the purposes of this subdivision, an installment payment shall be considered. an earlier maturing installment payment, or a late installment payment which is tendered or paid in ful paid as of the deterit is regeived by the bender and unless the bornower builderwise directs, at the time the installment payments. current installment payments and then to delinquent installment is paid, payments shall be applied first to (1) No late payment charge may be collected on an

exceed 10 percent of the amount of principal and interest terrowisey included in the installment payment except that, where included in the installment payment except that the the problem the amount of principal and interest included in the the problem installment payment is less than fifty dollars (850), a payer charge not to exceed fixed ollars (85) or 20 percent of the post-title contains an armonic payment in the post-title contains the post-title contains an armonic payment of the post-title contains an armonic payment of the post-title contains an armonic payment of the post-title contains a payment of the post-title contains an armonic payment of the post-title contains a pa amount of installmop' (2) The amount of the late payment charge shall + 86 it the n (c) is not paid within 40 days from the l' due date of the delinquent installment principal and interest included in the case fuyment, whichever is the lesser amount, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ late payment charge referred to in ( Excent) 3

C0575)

shall payment for which the charge was imposed, the/kinder add the amount of such late payment charge to the the expiration of such 40-day period of his electron not to add the late payment charge to principal, he cannot the lender gives written notice to the borrower prior to thereafter charge interest on it at the contract rate maless borrower within such 40-day period of his election not to principal. Unless the lender gives written notice to the thereafter treat (e) This section limits only the obligation of add the late payment charge to the principal and he failure to pay the late payment

section excuses or defers the borrower's performance of to, the right to recover costs and expenses incurred in any any other obligation incurred in the kent transaction, nor borrover to pay a late payment charge. Nothing in this between and apply such payments as provided in this does this section impair or defer the right of the kender CREDITOR to enforce any other obligation, including, but not limited shall accept any installment payments made by the section, but this requirement does not prevent the lender from enforcing or continuing to enforce his rights against forcement proceeding authorized by L.v. The leads CE

The therewer or the security  $(I \in AMY)$ .

(f) This section does not apply to loans made by a credit union subject to the provisions of Division 5 22000) of the Financial Code. to the provisions of Division 9 (commencing with Section by an industrial loan company subject to the provisions of (commencing with Section 14000) of the Financial Code, inancial Code, or by a personal property broker subject ivision 7 (commencing with Section 18000) of the

read: seeking to invalidate the provision establishes that it was provision in a contract liquidating the damages for breach of a contractual obligation is valid unless the party unreasonable under the circumstances existing at the time of the making of the contract. 3319. Except as otherwise provided by statute,

Section 3319 is added to the Civil Code,

ដ

Section 3320 is added to the Civil Code, to

at the time of the making of the contract. amount was reasonable under the circumstances existing the liquidated damages provision to establish that the obligation to purchasi contract, the burden is on the party seeking to entorce greater than Typercent of the total purchase price in the by the parties in the contract as liquidated damages provision is separately signed or initialed by each party contract for the sale of real property liquidating the and is valid under Section 3319. If the amount specified damages to the vend 3320. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), a provision in a he property is valid only if such But 64 meter / airs to sairs ly mis

shall be deemed to be reasonable and valid under Section 3319, if it does not exceed five libercent of the total amount so specified by the parties as liquidated damages satisty his obligation to purchase the property, the property provide by a provision separately signed or ndebtedness, or any amount clearly managed to be paid at a later date, become part of the deposit and to be paid at a later date. section, "deposit" includes but is not limited to a check purchase price in the contract. For the purposes of this actually is made by the purchaser shall constitute initialed by each party that all or any part of a deposit that "quid-tod damages to the vend r if the purchaser fails to (including a postdated check), note, or other evidence of (b) If the parties to a contract for the sale of

each of the following cases affects the validity of the liquidated Gamages provision, in under subdivision (b), and nothing damages is determined under subdivision (a (1) Where the produnt specified in subdivision (b) as liquidated Figures than

in the contract damages exceeds five percent of the total purchase price

than the amount specified as liquidated damages in here the deposit actually made by the purchaser ed no deposit is made by the purchaser.

> ととととと 上が変 5

provision in a contract for the sale of real property other than a provision liquidating the damages to the vendorif ne parchaser fails to satisfy his spligation to purchase. Nothing in this section affects the validity of any

property.

contracts as defined in Section 2985 SEC. 8. (4) This section does not apply to real property sales Section 3358 of the Civil Code is amended to

read: recover a greater amount in damages for the breach of an obligation than he performance thereof on both sides, except in the cases pecified in the articles on exemplary damages and penal lumages, and in Sections 3319, 3320, 3339, and 3340. Nothing in this chapter authorizes a person to could have gained by the full

SEC. 9. Section 14376 of the Government Code is

amended to read: portion of the work contemplated shall be completed, regard to the time when the whole or any specified beyond the specified time, the contractor shall forfeit and and shall provide that for each day completion is delayed as a bonus for completion prior to the specified time, such contractor. A contract for a road project may also provide from any payments due or to become due to the buy to the state a specified sum of mortey, to be deducted 3319 of the Civil Code does not apply to provision, if used, to be included in the specifications and for the payment of extra compensation to the contractor to clearly set forth the basic for such payment. Section Every contract shall contain a provision in

provisions under this section. Section 53069.85 of the Government Code is

amended to read: within which the whole or any specified portion of the district may include or cause to be included in contracts work contemplated shall be completed. The legislative for public projects a provision establishing the time oody may provide that for each The legislative body of a city, county or time, the contractor shall

> compensation to project may also provide for the payment of extra of money, to be deducted from any payments due or to not apply to contract provisions under this section. completion prior to the specified time. Such provisions become due to the contractor. A contract for such a forfeit and pay to such agency involved a specified sum orth the provisions. Section 3319 of the Civil Code does ids are received, which specifications shall clearly set used, shall be included in the specifications upon which the contractor, as a bonus to

SB 1532



# Tishman Realty & Construction Co., Inc.

WEST COAST HEADQUARTERS
10960 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

B-I CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE NO. 170730

November 8, 1974

John H. DeMoully, Esq. Executive Secretary California Law Revision Commission School of Law Stanford, California 94305

Re: Liquidated Damages

Dear John:

With all due respect to the opinions expressed in Memorandum 74-63 and its First Supplement, our firm urges that the following proposals of policy be circulated to the Commission prior to its November 14, 1974 meeting; the same are listed herein in the order of their importance.

- 1. The current law of liquidated damages (Civil Code Sections 1670, 1671 and case law thereunder) is manifestly inadequate, especially in the fields of real property sales and construction contracts. Therefore we urge that the Commission not "permanently drop" the topic of liquidated damages.
- 2. The Commission's Recommendation of December, 1973 (pp. 1225-1226) and Sec. 3320 of S.B. 1532 did not provide for liquidated damages to the <u>purchaser</u> of real property if the vendor fails to satisfy his obligation to sell. We believe such a "two-way street" is both commercially desirable and would also make the liquidated damages proposal more palatable to consumer-oriented groups.
- 3. We respectfully oppose the suggestion of Mr. Ulrich (Memo 74-63, pp. 1-2) that the burden of proof be placed upon the one seeking to enforce a liquidated damages provision. We believe that opposition in the Legislature can be overcome without requiring that the one asserting the liquidated damages provision, in effect, try to prove his actual losses in order to get the bargained-for liquidated sum. We believe the test of "reasonableness" in the Commission's general liquidated

# Tishman Realty & Construction Co. Inc.

John H. DeMoully, Esq.

-2-

November 8, 1974

damages proposal (Sec. 3319) is a sufficient check on overreaching, particularly in the field of real property sales, real property loans, and real property construction contracts.

- 4. The problem of opposition to S.B. 1532 seemed to center on the consumer credit area and appeared to result in various back-and-forth attempts to change the Commission's Section 2954.6 "late payment" proposals. Bearing in mind the virtual impotence of present Civil Code Sections 1670-71, we would rather see the Commission drop late payment charges altogether rather than see compromises made on crucial items such as the burden of proof. Such a revised Commission recommendation would consist of only a general section (much like Section 3319) and a section relating to real property sales.
  - 5. Despite any opposition to automatic validation of 5% liquidated damages in real property sales, we believe that such a proposal is both commercially honest (in terms of real damages) and is actually practiced in today's market place. Perhaps practical considerations will ultimately dictate a compromise limiting the measure to 5% of deposits "actually made" (rather than also "clearly indicated"), but we urge that much unnecessary litigation over "reasonableness" can be avoided by retaining some kind of automatic validation provision.

As in the past, I appreciate your invitation to appear at the Commission's hearings and will see you November 14th.

RONALD P. DENITZ

Assistant General Counsel

RPD/svh